

*Letter to the Editor***Quasars and galaxy formation****Joseph Silk¹ and Martin J. Rees²**¹ Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge, UK, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, France,
and Departments of Astronomy and Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA² Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge, UK

Received 9 October 1997 / Accepted 12 December 1997

Abstract. The formation of massive black holes may precede the epoch that characterises the peak of galaxy formation, as characterized by the star formation history in luminous galaxies. Hence protogalactic star formation may be profoundly affected by quasar-like nuclei and their associated extensive energetic outflows. We derive a relation between the mass of the central supermassive black hole and that of the galaxy spheroidal component, and comment on other implications for galaxy formation scenarios.

Key words: galaxy formation: supermassive black holes – quasars: outflows

1. Introduction

It is generally assumed that the first objects to form in the universe were stars. However this is by no means assured. There is general agreement among theorists that, if cosmic structures form hierarchically ('bottom up'), as in cold dark matter (CDM) models, the first baryonic clouds have masses in the range $10^5 - 10^6 M_\odot$, and that the characteristic mass subsequently rises. But it is actually not obvious that these clouds would undergo fragmentation into stars. Conditions in primordial clouds differ from those prevailing in conventional star-forming clouds that the fate of nearby molecular clouds is not a reliable guide. For example, in the absence of magnetic flux, cloud collapse may have been far more catastrophic than is the case at the present epoch. A massive disk could have rapidly shed its angular momentum via non-axisymmetric gravitational instabilities, and become so dense and opaque that it continued to evolve as a single unit. At very high redshifts, the inefficiency of atomic and molecular cooling via H and H₂ excitations is compensated by

Compton cooling; Compton drag provides an additional mechanism for transferring angular momentum and allowing collapse.

This outcome seems no less likely, a priori, than the alternative evolutionary pathways found in the literature, according to which primordial clouds fragment into stars with an initial mass function that varies between being bottom-heavy, top-heavy or even normal (that is, solar neighbourhood-like), depending on the observations that are being interpreted. The quasar distribution tells us directly that at least some massive black holes form early. Indeed, the quasar comoving density peaks at $z > 2$, and only declines at $z > 3$ (Shaver et al. 1996); on the other hand, the peak of galaxy formation occurs at $z \approx 1.5$ (Madau et al. 1996; Connolly et al. 1997), although there is uncertainty about the effects of extinction in leading to an underestimate of the galaxy luminosity at high redshift.

In fact, the known quasars, or their dead counterparts, are likely to be within the cores of at least 30 percent of these galaxies. To see this, note that combining the integrated density in quasar light with the assumption that quasars radiate at or near the Eddington limit yields an estimate of typical dead quasar (or black hole) mass (Soltan 1982; Chokshi & Turner 1992) as $10^7 - 10^8 M_\odot$. Whether one actually could observe an AGN component in high redshift galaxies depends sensitively on the adopted lifetime of the active phase: higher redshift helps. The observed correlation between massive black holes and dynamically hot galaxies then suggests that most hot galaxies, amounting to of order a third of all galaxies in terms of stellar content, could contain such a massive black hole (Faber et al. 1996). One note of caution would therefore be that dynamically hot galaxies probably form systematically earlier than most galaxies, and that if starbursts characterize their birth, existing high redshift samples of such objects may be incomplete.

Nevertheless, while the case remains ambiguous, we are sufficiently motivated by the possible implications of a causal connection between quasars and galaxy formation to explore in this note the consequences of a cosmogonical scenario in which the first objects to form, at some highly uncertain efficiency, are

supermassive black holes. We discuss how, during subsequent mergers, the holes could grow, and exert a feedback on star formation.

2. Model

2.1. Early Growth

We suppose that the initial black holes form via a coherent collapse. This probably implies $M_{bh} \gtrsim 10^6 \beta M_\odot$, with $\beta \sim 1$. Formation of lower mass holes would be less efficient, for at least two reasons. Primordial clouds of mass less than $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$ are readily disrupted by supernova-driven winds (Dekel and Silk 1986). Given the observed efficiency of black hole formation, the formation of black holes of mass below $\sim 10^6 M_\odot$ is likely to be inhibited. Moreover if the precursor object forms a supermassive star, there would be substantial mass loss. Since typical first generation clouds of primordial CDM have masses of order $10^6 \alpha M_\odot$ where $\alpha \sim 1$, the total mass going into black holes would be significant even if they formed in only a small proportion of clouds. Of course it is also possible that primordial clouds form smaller black holes which subsequently merge as the hierarchy develops. However this process involves two additional stages of inefficiency (via formation and merging), and we regard it as an improbable pathway. For a typical L_* galaxy to form from hierarchical merging of primordial clouds and contain a supermassive black hole, we require that the efficiency f at which the supermassive black hole formed (or equivalently, the inefficiency of fragmentation in primordial clouds) satisfies $f \gtrsim 10^{-5} \beta$.

How small can f be in order for the consequences to be of interest? One observes today that many, if not all, galaxies contain central supermassive black holes, and that $M_{bh} = 2 \times 10^{-3} M_{sph}$, where M_{bh} is the black hole mass and M_{sph} is the mass of the spheroidal component (Magorrian et al. 1997; Ford et al. 1997; van der Marel 1997). Once supermassive black holes are formed, the final black hole mass is enhanced during the hierarchical merging process, when dynamical friction and dissipative drag on gas can drive supermassive black holes into the center of the developing protogalaxy. Mergers provide a continuing supply of gas, and gas dissipation and accretion feed the central black hole. The most detailed numerical simulations of protogalaxy collapse with cosmological initial conditions that have hitherto been performed demonstrate that angular momentum transfer is highly effective (cf. Navarro and Steinmetz 1997). Baryons collapse to form a dense, massive central clump at the resolution limit of the simulations, rather than a centrifugally-supported disc on a galactic scale. This line of thought at least supplies a motivation for exploring (and constraining) the hypothesis that black hole formation and growth, rather than star formation, characterizes the earliest stages of galaxy formation. We will argue that the value of f self-regulates so as to approximately satisfy the observed correlation.

2.2. Quasar Winds

Massive black holes, whenever fuelled at a sufficient rate, would display quasar-like activity. For brevity we term such objects ‘quasars’ – noting, however, that the events we are discussing may occur at higher redshifts than the typical observed quasars. An explosion model whereby outflows from early quasar-like objects led to cooled shells which fragmented into galaxies was originally developed by Ikeuchi (1981; cf. also Ostriker and Cowie 1981); this idea has, however, fallen from favour as the principal mode for galaxy formation because post-shock Compton cooling would lead to excessive spectral distortions of the cosmic microwave background spectrum. We consider here the (more localised) effects on the gas within the protogalaxy in which the quasar is embedded.

The effect of a protogalactic wind may be estimated as follows. We model a protogalaxy as an isothermal sphere of cold dark matter that contains gas fraction f_{gas} with density $\rho = \sigma^2 / 2\pi G r^2$, constant velocity dispersion σ , and mass $M(< r) = 2r\sigma^2/G$. In massive halos, $T \approx \sigma^2 m_p / 3k = 4 \times 10^6 \text{ K } (\sigma / 300 \text{ km s}^{-1})^2$. A sufficiently intense wind from the central quasar can sweep up the gas into a shell, and push it outwards at constant velocity

$$v_s = \left(\frac{f_w L_{Edd} 8\pi^2 G}{f_{gas} \sigma^2} \right)^{1/3}.$$

In this expression, the mechanical (*i.e.* wind) luminosity is taken to be a fraction f_w of the Eddington luminosity $L = 4\pi G c M_{bh} \kappa^{-1} = 1.3 \times 10^{46} M_8 \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$, Note that $f_w \equiv \dot{M}_{out} v_w^2 / L_E = \epsilon^{-1} (v_w/c)^2 \approx 0.01$, where $\epsilon = L_E / \dot{M}_{inf} c^2$ is the radiation efficiency.

Expulsion of this shell requires that its velocity should exceed the escape velocity from the protogalaxy: *i.e.* $v_s > \sigma$. The condition for this to be the case is that

$$M_{bh} > \alpha \frac{\sigma^5 \kappa}{G^2 c} = 8 \times 10^8 \gamma (\sigma / 500 \text{ km s}^{-1})^5 M_\odot, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma_{500} \equiv \sigma / 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\gamma^{-1} \equiv 32\pi^3 f_w / f_{gas} \sim 1$. If for example $\gamma \sim 1$, black holes could in principle eject all the material from their host galaxies when their masses exceed $\sim 10^7 M_\odot$. If the situation were indeed spherical, then, even if the central source switched off, the outflow would continue to expand into the intergalactic medium for up to a Hubble time before stalling due to the ambient pressure. The shell velocity decreases via an explosive outflow according to

$$v_s = 330 (E_{62} / \Omega_{0.05})^{1/5} (1+z)^{3/10} \text{ km s}^{-1},$$

for an explosion energy, equal to the kinetic energy at breakout, of $10^{62} E_{62}$ ergs and an intergalactic medium density equal to 5 percent of the Einstein de Sitter density (with $H_0 = 60 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$), as compared to the binding energy of the gas in a massive protogalaxy of $\sim 10^{60} - 10^{61}$ erg. The ambient pressure is not high enough to halt the shell, initially moving at breakout at a velocity of $\sim 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, until $z \lesssim 1$. The

shell radius is $R_s = 4.6(E_{62}/\Omega_{0.05})^{1/5}(1+z)^{-6/5}\text{Mpc}$, and the fragment mass is (Ostriker and Cowie 1981)

$$M_f = 7 \times 10^{10} a_{10}^4 E_{62}^{-1/5} \Omega_{0.1}^{-4/5} (1+z)^{-9/5} M_\odot,$$

where $10a_{10}\text{km s}^{-1}$ is the sound velocity within the shell.

How effective this expulsion would actually be, depends on the geometry of the outflow and on the degree of inhomogeneity of the protogalactic gas. Realistically, the outflow may be directional (probably bipolar) rather than spherically-symmetric. Some gas may survive and even be overpressured by double radio lobes to form stars (Begelman and Cioffi 1989)

It is even possible (Natarajan et al 1997) that swept-up material, after cooling down into a dense shell, may fragment into a class of dwarf galaxies; these would differ from normal dwarfs in not being embedded in dark halos, and consequently be more liable to disruption by massive star formation. Hence a high-mass black hole has two contrasting effects. It inhibits star formation in its host halo by blowing gas out; on the other hand, the ejected gas may eventually pile up in a cool shell that breaks up into small galaxies.

2.3. Protogalaxy Core

The implications of (1) are that a massive hole, if it continues to emit at close to the Eddington rate, could expel gas completely from its host galaxy. (The amount of gas that has to be accreted is in itself negligible in this context: each unit mass of gas accreted into the hole can release enough energy to expel of order $(c/v)^2$ times its own mass from the far shallower potential well of the halo.) However, the fuelling demands a continuing accumulation of gas in the centre (probably supplied by hierarchical merging). We can therefore interpret (1) as setting an upper limit to the mass of a hole that can exist in a galaxy where star formation can proceed efficiently.

Expression (1) gives a relation between a black hole and its surrounding halo. If a significant fraction of the Eddington luminosity emerged in ‘mechanical’ form, this gives the criterion that the energy liberated on the dynamical timescale of the halo is equal to the gravitational binding energy. The same expression can be derived by a different argument. The maximum rate at which gas can be fed towards the centre of a galaxy (as the outcome of mergers, etc) is $\sim \sigma^3/G$, where σ is the velocity dispersion in the merged protogalactic system. A quasar could expel all this gas from the galactic potential well on a dynamical timescale if its mass exceeded a critical value obtained by requiring that $\sigma^5/G = 4\pi G c M_{bh}^{cr}/\kappa$.

This is indistinguishable from the observed relation between the masses of central holes and those of their host spheroids (e.g. Magorrian et al. 1997). The observed relation has considerable scatter but hints at a dependence of black hole on spheroid mass that rises more rapidly than linearly: e.g. the Milky Way has a central black hole mass of $2.5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, whereas M87, with a spheroid mass that is only ~ 100 larger than that of the Milky Way, has a central black hole of mass $4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$.

We therefore hypothesize that, in the merger process leading to the formation of typical galaxies, the hole mass stabilises near

the critical value. Hierarchical merging, augmented by continuing black hole growth, helps maintain the black hole-to-spheroid mass ratio at the self-regulation level. We suggested in Sect. 1, however, that in the first bound systems gas may accumulate into a single compact unit and evolve into a supermassive hole. If single black holes are indeed favoured over star formation in this way, the holes in these first systems would be far above the ‘critical’ value appropriate to such small halos with low velocity dispersions: in other words $M_{bh} \gg M_{bh}^{cr}$. Star formation would then be inhibited (except in a disc close to the central quasar) until, via mergers, the halos had become large enough to bring the mass of the actual central hole below the critical mass (which, as we have seen, grows faster than linearly with halo mass). Thereafter, the scaling would be maintained.

If, after mergers had formed high-mass halos, the central hole were still above the critical mass, the implications would be ominous for galaxy formation. Such a system would end up as a supermassive black hole embedded in a low surface brightness galaxy. Around the central host quasar, one would expect to find a cavity of hot gas. Compton cooling at high redshift will tend to quench any associated extended radio emission. If large radio sources were responsible for the hot gas bubbles, then the geometry is not a sensitive issue. Indeed, double lobes are as effective as spherical outflows and are more reminiscent of the geometry of the associated hot gas bubbles with the similar energetics of reported Sunyaev-Zeldovich decrements that have no apparent associated galaxy cluster but with possibly associated quasars (Jones et al. 1997; Partridge et al. 1997). Natarajan et al. 1997) argue that one might expect to detect a shell of newly formed Magellanic irregular-type galaxies at the periphery of such bubbles.

3. Implications for galaxy formation and suppression

Near the hole, the ionizing radiation certainly suppresses star formation in normal molecular clouds, which, if of density n , survive only at a distance greater than $\sim 10(L_{46}/n)^{1/2}\text{kpc}$ from the central quasar. The ultraviolet flux is effective at destroying H_2 molecules produced via H^- formation to much larger distances, e.g. the H^- photodissociation rate is $\sim 10^{-10} L_{46} r_1^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at $r_1 \text{Mpc}$ from the quasar whereas the rate of the competing H_2 formation process is $\sim 10^{-9} n \text{s}^{-1}$ (Tegmark et al. 1997). This would tend to suppress formation of dwarf galaxies out to $\sim 0.3n^{-1/2} \text{Mpc}$. If the radiation extends to the x-ray band, there is however a narrow regime where the hard ionizing flux, by maintaining a fraction of free electrons deep inside the cloud, may actually enhance star formation by stimulating H^- formation (Haiman, Rees and Loeb 1996).

There are several further noteworthy consequences of the hypothesis that supermassive black holes form within the first subgalactic structures that virialise at high redshift, and are in place before most galactic stars have formed. AGN activity generates outflows that can interact dynamically with the surrounding protogalactic gas as well as provide a possible early flux of hard ionizing photons. Star formation in the accretion disk surrounding the broad emission line region of the AGN is likely to

proceed under conditions very different from those encountered even in starbursts. Star-forming clouds at distance r_{pc} pc from the supermassive black hole must be dense enough to avoid tidal disruption, or $n \gtrsim 10^9 M_8 r_{pc}^{-3} \text{cm}^{-3}$. One infers that the Jeans mass ($\propto a_s^3/\rho^{1/2}$) is reduced to stellar scale, and also that the specific angular momentum of such a clump is reduced (as $\propto a_s^2/\rho^{1/2}$), relative to values in conventional star-forming clouds.

Suppose that the circum-quasar accretion disk is dense enough to be self-shielding and to be predominantly molecular. If enriched to near-solar abundance level, CO molecules and other species provide important cooling and should result in a temperature of order 100 K. One then infers that two of the classical barriers to star formation are likely to be overcome. Hence any gas which falls close enough to the centre to be part of an accretion disc should convert efficiently into stars. Outflow from these stars that form under the gravitational influence of the hole provides a source of metal enrichment, and may thereby stimulate more widespread star formation in a protogalaxy. Magnetic flux will be ejected, the accretion disk providing the conditions for an efficient dynamo. The subsequent turbulent mixing by supernova remnants and stretching by differential rotation could provide a possible origin for the interstellar magnetic field.

There are also interesting consequences for the intergalactic medium (IGM), since quasar-driven winds could readily eject enriched material from the shallow potential wells that characterize the earlier stages of hierarchical clustering. This could account for the apparent presence of up to 1 percent of the solar abundance of heavy elements even at high z . If this is the case, a time delay is likely between the epoch of most quasar activity and the epoch of the bulk of star formation in the universe. One observes a time delay corresponding to $\delta z \approx 1$: studies of quasars have confirmed a peak in the quasar number density between $z = 2$ and 3 , and the star formation history of the universe is found to peak between $z = 1$ and 2 .

The cores of present-day galaxies may carry traces of the black hole merging history. Megers and accretion will allow the black hole to grow to the critical value as determined by Eq. (1). Our key prediction is the relation between central black hole mass and spheroid mass.

Luminous elliptical cores are best explained by heating associated with binary black hole decay following a major merger (Magorrian et al. 1997). The present model envisages that this process operates at all stages of the hierarchy but only towards

the end would the gas be mostly exhausted. Perhaps this would help explain the transition with increasing luminosity from coreless spheroids to hot galaxies with cores.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge helpful discussions with M. Haehnelt and P. Natarajan. The research of JS has been supported in part by grants from NASA and NSF, and that of MJR by the Royal Society. JS acknowledges with gratitude the hospitality of the Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris where he is a Blaise-Pascal Visiting Professor, and the Institute of Astronomy at Cambridge, where he is a Sackler Visiting Astronomer.

References

- Begelman, M. C. and Cioffi, D. F. 1989, *ApJ*, 345, L21
- Chokshi, A. and Turner, E. L. 1992, *MNRAS*, 259, 421
- Connolly, A. J., Szalay, A. S., Dickinson, M., SubbaRao, M. U. and Brunner, R. J. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9706255
- Faber, S. M. et al. 1996, preprint astro-ph/9610055
- Ford, H. C., Tsvetanov, Z. I., Ferrarese, L. and Jaffe, W. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9711299, in *IAU Symp. 184, The Central Regions of the Galaxy and Galaxies*, Kyoto, 1997
- Haiman, Z., Rees, M.J. and Loeb, A. 1996, *ApJ* 467, 522-529
- Jones, M. E. et al. 1997, *ApJL*, 479, 1-4
- Ikeuchi, S. 1981, *PASJ*, 33, 211-222
- Madau P., et al. 1996, *MNRAS*, 283, 1388
- Magorrian, J. et al. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9708072
- van der Marel, R. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9712076, in *Proc. IAU Symposium 186, Kyoto, August 1997*, D. B. Sanders, J. Barnes, eds., Kluwer Academic Publ.
- Natarajan, P., Sigurdsson, S. and Silk, J. 1997, preprint
- Navarro, J. and Steinmetz, M. 1997, *MNRAS*, 288, 13
- Ostriker, J. P. and Cowie, L. L. 1981, *ApJ*, 243, 127
- Partridge, R. B., Richards, E. A., Fomalont, E. B., Kellerman, K. I. and Windhorst, R. A. 1997, *ApJ*, 483, 38
- Shaver, P. A., Wall, J. V., Kellerman, K. I., Jackson, C. A. and Hawkins, M. R. S. 1996, *Nature*, 384, 439
- Soltan, A. 1982, *MNRAS*, 200, 115
- Tegmark, M. et al. 1997, *ApJ*, 474, 1

This article was processed by the author using Springer-Verlag L^AT_EX A&A style file L-AA version 3.